

Message

From: Wilson, Jennifer [wilson.jenniferA@epa.gov]
Sent: 12/18/2017 5:59:01 PM
To: Cisneros, Jose [Cisneros.Jose@epa.gov]; Poy, Thomas [poy.thomas@epa.gov]; Hansen, Janette [hansen.janette@epa.gov]; Beedle, Michael [beedle.michael@epa.gov]; Steketee, John [steketee.john@epa.gov]; Mendoza, Stephen [mendoza.stephen@epa.gov]; Arrazola, Ignacio [arrazola.ignacio@epa.gov]
CC: Zolnierczyk, Kenneth [zolnierczyk.kenneth@epa.gov]; Ramanauskas, Peter [ramanauskas.peter@epa.gov]; Petrovski, David [petrovski.david@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: MKC - TSCA Approval Conditions - LCD Meeting

Hi Joe,

Thank you for copying us.

John,

Ken is out of the office until after the 1st of January. He said he would check his e-mail occasionally, though.

Janette Hansen, the Acting Section Chief of the Enforcement Team in Drinking Water, thinks LCD is taking the lead on these two matters. We are fine with whatever remedies are proposed as long as they keep the PCBs and the PCE away from Madison's well.

However, below are a few thoughts I think I already expressed about WDNR's PCB settlement and a couple of thoughts about the PCE contamination. I believe Ken agrees with all of these thoughts based on my discussions with him, but he should feel free to disagree if he is checking his e-mail. Also, Peter and Dave, please feel free to chime in if you disagree or have other ideas.

- I would prefer to have at least two monitoring wells installed between the Facility and Madison's well for monitoring the PCBs and it would be nice if they were screened at multiple depths to monitor the PCE plume as well.
- I think we want the unfiltered PCB concentration measured because I believe the PCBs travel with the soil particles. Ken and Peter know more about this than I do, but I think by only analyzing for PCBs in the dissolved phase, the company will not be painting an accurate picture of the contamination as those numbers will be much lower than the numbers in an undissolved sample.
- I would like the second PCE plume pumped and treated. I think the Company needs a new well for it because I don't think GW-1 will capture it based on the PCE figure that Madison's consultant created.

Thank you,
 Jenny

-----Original Message-----


From: Cisneros, Jose
Sent: Monday, December 18, 2017 9:12 AM
To: Poy, Thomas <poy.thomas@epa.gov>; Wilson, Jennifer <wilson.jenniferA@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: MKC - TSCA Approval Conditions - LCD Meeting

FYI.
 Joe

-----Original Message-----

From: Steketee, John
Sent: Monday, December 18, 2017 8:53 AM
To: Beedle, Michael <beedle.michael@epa.gov>; Ramanauskas, Peter <ramanauskas.peter@epa.gov>; Zolnierczyk, Kenneth <zolnierczyk.kenneth@epa.gov>; Petrovski, David <petrovski.david@epa.gov>
Cc: Cisneros, Jose <Cisneros.Jose@epa.gov>; Mendoza, Stephen <mendoza.stephen@epa.gov>; Arrazola, Ignacio <arrazola.ignacio@epa.gov>
Subject: MKC - TSCA Approval Conditions - LCD Meeting

Ken:





John

Note -

This communication may contain privileged, confidential or proprietary information that may be protected from disclosure under law. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not read, use or disclose the contents of this communication to others. Please notify the sender that you have received this in error. Please then delete the communication from your system and destroy any copies of it. Thank you.